



IMAM AS SYAFEI BUILDING

Faculty of Law, Sultan Agung Islamic University Jalan Raya Kaligawe, KM. 4 Semarang, Indonesia

UNISSULA PRESS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Front Page	i ii
Committee Composition	
Preface	
Greeting From The Dean Faculty of Law	
INDONESIA'S KPK AND NSW'S ICAC: COMPARISONS AND CONTRASTS Prof. Simon Butt	1
CAN INDONESIA FREE ITSELF FROM CORRUPTION IN 2020? Prof. Dr. Hikmahanto.,S.H.,LLM	4
AN ACT TO ESTABLISH THE ANTI-CORRUPTION AGENCY, TO VEST POWERS ON OFFICERS OF THE AGENCY AND TO MAKE PROVISIONS CONNECTED THEREWITH. Rohimi Shapiee	7
STRATEGY TO CREATE INDONESIA FREE CORRUPTION IN 2020 Dr. Jawade Hafidz, S.H., M.H	11
THE NETHERLANDS INGLOBAL CORRUPTION Siti Malikah Marlou Feer, M.A.	28
ROBUST YET FRAGILE: EFFORTS IN COMBATING CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Laras Susanti.,S.H., LLM	33
LEGAL STATUS OF AKTOR'S FOR CORRUPTION (In the Perspective of Islamic Law) Sumarwoto Umar	37
THE ROLE OF LAW IN THE POVERTY REDUCTION STRATEGY Lantik Kusuma Aji	46
THE INDEPENDENCY OF THE INSTITUTION FOR THEPROTECTION AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OFHUMAN RIGHTS TOWARDS THE GLOBALIZATION ERA 2020	
Khalid	55
THE URGENCY OF ANTI CORRUPTION EDUCATION FOR COLLEGES IN INDONESIA Siska Diana Sari	62
THE PROBLEMS OF DIVORCE IN CUMULATION AT THE RELIGIOUS COURTS BASED ON THE PRINCIPLES OF SIMPLE, FAST AND LOW COST Elis Rahmahwati	78
DISPARITIES DECISION RELATED TO INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE 2 AND 3 CORRUPTION ERADICATION ACT	
Agung Widodo	87
DIVERSITY ADULT AGE LIMITS POSITIVE LAW IN INDONESIA (Studies in Multidisciplinary Perspective) Muhammad Andri	102

THE APPLICATION OF BALANCE IDEA IN SETTLEMENT	
OF DOCTOR MALPRACTICE CASE THROUGH PENAL MEDIATION Yati Nurhayati	111
MODERNIZATION LAW AS A CRIME CORRUPTION VERY EXCEPTIONAL THROUGH ENFORCEMENT OF ETHICS Dr. Sukresno, SH, M.Hum	118
CORRUPTION POTENCIES IN LAND USE POLICY (A Case Study in Kuningan Regency) Haris Budiman	126
CORRUPTION PREVENTION AND CONTROLS INP Budiartha	133
ISLAMIC LAW VALUES TRANSFORMATION IN THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE LEGALITY PRINCIPLE OF INDONESIAN CRIMINAL CODE Sri EndahWahyuningsih	145
JUSTICE AND CHARITY IN JAKARTA'S NORTH COAST RECLAMATION PROCESS THAT WILL LEAD TO INDONESIA CLEAN OF CORRUPTION Untoro	155
CORRUPTION CRIMINAL SANCTIONS WITH VALUES OF JUSTICE-BASED Zulfiani	162
THE REFLECTION OF ISLAMIC BANKING IN THEORY AND PRACTICE Anis Mashdurohatun	171
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF LOCAL WISDOM SIRI'NA PACCE AS AN EFFORT OF CORRUPTION ERADICATION IN INDONESIA Muh. Afif Mahfud	181
DISCOURSE POLITICAL LAW IN INDONESIA ON A COMPLETATION OF PLATO PHILOSOPHY Adrianus M. Nggoro,SH.,M.Pd	189
STUDY OF INDONESIA'S PARTICIPATION IN ICSID Agus Saiful Abib	202
NOTARY ROLE IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EXECUTION PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES ARE FREE OF CORRUPTION BASED ON THE PRINCIPLE OF GOOD GOVERNANCE Aris Yulia	211
ANALYSIS WIRETAPPING AUTHORITY UPPER KPK LAW ENFORCEMENT IN THE PERSPECTIVE OF HUMAN RIGHTS Ariyanto,.SH,.MH	221
SOCIAL WORKING PENALTY AS SOLUTION IN ERADICATING CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Desy Maryani	232
LEGAL POLITICSOF EMPLOYMENT IN TERM OF PART OF TASK HANDOVER TO OTHER COMPANIES IN INDONESIA Endah Pujiastuti	244

IN THE COMPANY EMPLOYING OUTSOURCING SERVICE Pupu Sriwulan Sumaya	256
THE APPLICAT ION OF CORRUPTION LAW TO WARD CRIMINAL ACT IN THE FIELD OF FORESTRY Ifrani	267
THE EFFORTS OF ERADICAT ION OF CORRUPTION THROUGH INSTRUMENTS OF MONEY LAUDER ING LAW AND RETURN ACTORS 'ASSETS Yasmirah Mandasari Saragih	276
AFFIRM ROLE OF EXISTEN CE RECHTSVERWERKING TO ACHIEVING LEGAL CERTA INTY IN LAND REG ISTRAT ION Rofiq laksamana, Setiono, I Gusti Ayu Ketut Rachmi Handayani, Oloan Sitorus	287
ANTI-CORRUPTION EDUCAT ION AT AN EARLY AGE AS A STRATEG IC MOVE TO PREVENT CORRUPTION IN INDONES IA Ida Musofiana	304
FREED INDONES IA'S CORRUPTION BETWEEN HOPE AND REAL ITY Dr. Tongat, SH., MHum., Said Noor Prasetyo, SH., MH	313
UTILIZAT ION OF INDONES IA MARINE RESOUR CES IN AN EFFORT TO REAL IZE INDONES IA TO WARDS THE SHAFT OF THE MARITIME WORLD Dr.Lathifah Hanim, SH.M.Hum., M.Kn. and Letkol (mar) MS.Noorman, S. Sos., M.Opsla	319
POTENT IAL CORRUPTION IN THE VALIDAT ION POLICIES ON ACQUISITION TA X OF LAND AND OR BUILDING Lilik Warsito	325
THE EFFORT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT IN COMBAT ING CORRUPTION IN SOUTH SUMATERA Sri Suatmiati	334
ETHICAL PERS PECTIVE AND THE MAPPING OF NORM IN CORRUPTION ACT	
Siti Zulaekhah AN EXPANSION OF CONCEPT THE STATE ECONOMIC LOSS IN CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Supriyanto, Hartiwiningsih, Supanto	
JURIDICAL STUD IES ON SUBSTAN CE AND PRO CEDURE OF THE DISMISSAL OF THE PRES IDENT AND/OR VICE-PRES IDENT AFTER THE REFORMAT ION	
THE ROLE OF THE SHARIA SUPERVISORY BOARD IN THE FRAMEWORK ENFORCIN SHARIA PRINCIPLES AT THE INST ITUTE OF ISLAMIC BAN KING IN SEMARANG	
Aryani Witasari SEMARANG CITY GOVERNMENT ROLE IN CONSERVATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL	376
PROTECTION TO THE CAPITAL OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE IN INDONESIA Achmad J Pamungkas (Indonesia), Carlito Da Costa (Timor Leste)	390

STUDYING THE WISDOM OF ZAKAT Moch. Gatot Koco (Indonesia), Basuki R Suratno (Australia)	398
HOMOLOGATION RECONSTRUCTION IN BANKRUPTCY THAT IS BASED ON DIGNIFIED JUSTICE Agus Winoto	410
RECONSTRUCTION OF EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY IN MAKING GOOD GOVERNANCE (GOOD GOVERNANCE) VALUES BASED ON WELFARE Mohamad Khamim	420
THE TASK RECONSTRUCTION AND BPKP'S AUTHORITY IN THE CASE OF JUSTICE VAUE BASED CORRUPTION Sarbudin Panjaitan	429
THE RECONSTRUCTION OF MADLIYAH AND IDDAH MAINTENANCE AND MUT'AH IN DIVORCE CASE FOR JUSTICE AND WELFARE Mustar	. 438
JURIDICAL ANALYSIS OF THE ALLEGED CRIMINAL OFFENSE TO MANUFACTURE A NOTARY DEED Subiyanto	446
REVITALIZATION DEAL IN AKAD HYBRIDS IN SHARIA BANKING VALUE BASED ISLAMIC JUSTICE Masduqi	. 452
RECONSTRUCTION OF LEGAL PROTECTION DISTRICT HEAD IN THE ELECTION IMPLEMENTATION OF VALUE-BASED JUSTICE Kukuh Sudarmanto Alugoro	462
ABUSE OF AUTHORITY OFFENSE THEOLOGICAL RECONSTRUCTION LAW ERADICATION OF CORRUPTION (LAW NUMBER 31 OF 1999 JO. LAW NUMBER 20 OF 2001) BASED ON VALUE OF JUSTICE	470
As'adi M. Al-ma'ruf RECONSTRUCTION OF THE DAILY PAID WORK AGREEMENT IN THE EMPLOYMENT LAW BASED ON JUSTICE Christina N M Tobing	
THE LAW AND THE IMPACT OF MARRIAGE SIRRI Sahal Afhami	
CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN AS ACTORS Muhammad Cholil	. 503
RECONSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURAL LAW (KUHAP) ABOUT THE DETENTION Muhammad Khambali	. 512

BASED ON JUSTICE PROBLEMS OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION REGIONAL CHIEF ELECTION (GOVERNOR, REGENTS AND MAYOR) Esti Ningrum)
RECONSTRUCTION REGIONAL MINIMUM WAGE (UMR) IN RENEWAL OF EMPLOYMENT LEGAL REMEDIES BASED INDONESIA THE VALUE JUSTICE PANCASILA Urip Giyono	
IMPLEMENTATION OF LAW AS TO MAINTAIN SECURITY IN THE CONTEXT OF PROFESSIONAL POLICE POLMAS (CASE STUDY IN LAMPUNG POLICE) Muhammad Yaman)
RECONSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL SANCTIONS PENAL CODE ACTORS ON ABORTION CRIME BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE Hanuring Ayu Ardhani Putri)
REGISTRATION FIDUCIARY GUARANTEE REALIZE LEGAL PROTECTION OF CREDITORS AND DEBTOR Ansharullah Ida	6
RECONSTRUCTION OF LEGAL DISPUTES MEDIATION IN HEALTH CARE FOR PATIENTS HOSPITAL BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE Teguh Anindito	9
RECONSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL SANCTIONS AGAINST CRIME OF ACTORS AND MURDER MURDER IN PLAN BASED ON VALUE OF JUSTICE CRIMINAL CODE	_
Maria Marghareta Titiek Pudji Angesti Rahayu Teguh Anindito	
Aji Sudarmaji	
RECONSTRUCTION OF THE WASTE MANAGEMENT LAW BASED ON WELFARE VALUE M. Hasyim Muallim	6
RECONSTRUCTION LAW OF PUNISHMENT AGAINST CHILDREN NARCOTICS ABUSE-BASED PROGRESSIVE LAW Salomo Ginting	5
LEGAL PROTECTION PROBLEM OF WIFE AND CHILDREN OF POLYGAMY SIRRI IN INDONESIA Muhlas	9

IDEAL RECONSTRUCTION OF REHABILITATION PUNISHMENT FOR NARCOTICS ADDICTS AND ABUSER'S VICTIMS JUSTIFIED BASED ON THE LAW OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA NO. 35 YEAR 2009 (CASE STUDY IN SUMATERA UTARA PROVINCE)	
Ahmad Zaini	648
IMPLEMENTATION OF ACCELERATION SYSTEMATIC LAND REGISTRATION FULL IN HUMBANG HASUNDUTAN DISTRICT Ruslan	658
	000
RECONSTRUCTION OF STATUS AND AUTHORITY OF THE SHARIA COURT IN THE NATIONAL JUDICIAL SYSTEM BASED ON JUSTICE Jufri Ghalib	. 667
RECONSTRUCTION OF LIABILITY NOTARY PUBLIC OFFICERS TO ACT AS A VALUE-BASED JUSTICE Elpina	. 679
RECONSTRUCTION OF CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW IN MAKING THE BALANCE BUSINESS BASED BUSINESS AND CONSUMER VALUE OF JUSTICE	
Ramon Nofrial	. 693
RECONSTRUCTION OF LAND USED RIGHT EIGENDOM VALUES BASED ON JUSTICE AND LEGAL CERTAINTY Hakim Tua Harahap	. 706
RECONSTRUCTION OF DIVERSION CONCEPT IN CHILD PROTECTION OF CONFLICT WITH THE LAWS BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE Ulina Marbun	
RECONSTRUCTION OF PARATE EXECUTION MORTGAGE RIGHTS TO LAND BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE Zaenal Arifin	. 740
THE RECONSTRUCTION OF DIVORCE DUE TO MARITAL STATUS UNDER THE UNAUTHORIZED GUARDIAN AS VALUE OF JUSTICE Abdul Kholig	. 751
THE RECONSTRUCTION OF LEGAL AID LAW FOR CHILDREN WHO GET CONFLICT WITH LAW IN PROCESS OF JUSTIFICATION FOR CHILDREN BASED ON THE VALUE OF PANCASILA	
Adi Mansar	. /6/
MEDIATION RECONSTRUCTION AS ONE OF THE ALTERNATIVE SETTLEMENT OF DECLINE IN THE COURTS BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE (State the Simalungun District Court)	
Mariah S.M. Purba	. 778
POLYGAMIC POLICY IN INDONESIA (Analysis of Polygamic Arrangements and Practices 1959-2015) Warman	700
vva:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	. 130

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGAINST CORRUPTION IN PERSPECTIVE OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN INDONESIA Sekhroni	. 798
THE PRINCIPLE OF NATURAL JUSTICE AND HUMAN'S RIGHT PROTECTION FOR CITIZENS IN ERADICATION OF CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Indriyana Dwi Mustikarini	809
PREVENTING LAND MAFIA USING POSITIVE LAND REGISTRY SYSTEM Bambang Sulistyo Widjanarko	816
UNRULY PASSENGER IN AVIATION: THE REGULATIONS AND CASES IN INDONESIA Adya Paramita Prabandari	. 826
EDUCATION ANTI-CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA: PROBLEMS, CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS Alwan Hadiyanto	839
SPIRITUAL URGENCY OF RELIGIOUS AND EXPENSES OF EVIDENCE IN COMBATIN CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Sulistyowati	
SUE FOR THE STATE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE IN INDONESIA Sarjiyati	
CONSISTENCY MODEL OF COURT DESIGNATION TO FOSTER PARENT RIGHTS AUTHORITY DUE TO DIVORCE ON CHILDREN	070
Erna Trimartini AN INVESTIGATION AUTHORITY OF CRIMINAL ACT ON CORRUPTION IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IN INDONESIA Sukmareni	
PRO CONS THE EXISTENCE OF DEATH PENALTY IN CORRUPTION ACT OF 1999 IN INDONESIA	
Anis Rifai PENAL MEDIATION IN SOLVING MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASES AS AN ALTERNA' OF PENAL SANCTIONS BASED ON LOCAL WISDOM Sri Setiawati	TIVE
SPECIAL PROTECTION OF CHILDREN IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM Achmad Sulchan	
MORAL REFORM BUREAUCRACY AS PREVENTION OF ILLEGAL PAYMENTS TO INDONESIA CLEAN OF CORRUPTION Herwin Sulistyowati	. 932
STANCE AND AUTHORITY OF PEOPLE'S CONSULTATIVE ASSEMBLY DURING REFORMATION ERA 1945 Ahmad Mujib Rohmat	944
•	

JURIDICAL STUDIES ON SUBSTANCE AND PROCEDURE OF THE DISMISSAL OF THE PRESIDENT AND/OR VICE-PRESIDENT AFTER THE REFORMATION

By: Siti Rodhiyah Dwi Istinah Faculty of Law, Unissula, Semarang Email: s.rodhiyah@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Reformation era was proclaimed by the amendment of the 1945 Constitution (UUD 1945) of the Republic of Indonesia which was done by MPR since 1999 until 2002. The changes obviously gives consequences in regards to the substance and procedure of accountability of the President and/or Vice-President which were distinctive from the previous one which can be explored in the formulation of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia. The selection of the governmental system of Presidential gave impact towards the characteristics of such system which banished the accountability of the President to the parliament (MPR). Although the state of the President was strong (fixed executive), the dismisal might probably happen during their tenure when the President and/or Vice-President violating the law.

Key Words: *the dismissal of the President and/or Vice-President, substance, procedure*

A. Background

A power in every country, which is categorized as a democratic country, will demand a big accountability regardless how big the power being authorized. UUD 1945 does not cite a word "accountability" explicitly in its formation of articles. However, the word can be found in the articles which are related to norms ruling the dismissal of the President and/or Vice-President during their tenure due to the allegation of law violation. The dismissal prosecutes the President's liability of the deeds accused towards them. There are defined in Article 3 subsection (3), Article 7A UUD 1945 and continued in Article 7B regulating the process or mechanism of the dismissal of the President and/or Vice-President. The formulated articles are also in line with the oath of office spoken by the President and Vice-President in front of MPR. Thus, accountability is a consequence for the President as a party in a position which let him/her receives mandate from people holding sovereignity.

There is a constitutional mechanism in each country concerning the dismissal of the President due to an allegation of law violation caused by the President and/or Vice-President termed as *impeachment* (a term in US constitutional). Substantially, *Impeachment* is regulated in Article 7A and its process was formulated in Article 7B, which then was completed by a Constitution No. 24 Year 2003 about Constitutional Court, Constitution No. 17 Year 2014 About MPR, DPR, and DPD, also a Regulation of Constitutional Court No. 21 Yaer 2009 and MPR-DPR Code of Conduct. The dismissal of President in their tenure can be

done if only the President was accused as the doer of law violation as strictly regulated in the constitution. There are two concepts of President dismissal in the theory of constitutional law. The initial one is the impeachment concept and the second is *forum previlegiatum* concept.

In a constitutional system once applied, Indonesia once adopted a concept of forum previlegiatum when the regulation of KRIS 1949 Article 148 either in UUDS 1950 in Article 106 regulating the President and other high officials of the country are prosecuted on the first and last level in the Supreme Court due to crime, official violation, and the other contraventions which have been assigned by constitution. Meanwhile, the stipulation aforementioned has not been applied yet since then KRIS 1949 was stated invalid (approximately 9 months of being applied). However, UUD 1945 which is applied until this moment, does not regulate explicitly the model of forum previlegiatum. After the third amendment toward UUD 1945, there are an option of President dismissal within his/her tenure as it is formulated in Article 7A and Article 7B UUD 1945. This paper will conduct a juridical study on the dismissal of the President and/or Vice-President after the reformation in Indonesian constitutional system which then yields a question on how is the study on the substance of the dismissal od the President and/or Vice-President in UUD 1945? And How is the study on the procedure of the dismissal of the President and/or Vice-President in UUD 1945?

B. DISCUSSION

1. State Institution Involved in the Process of the Dismissal of the President and/or Vice-President

The allegation of law violation, committed by the President and/or Vice-President, which has been prosecuted in a trial of MK is called "pemakzulan" or impeachment (Constitutional term of US). The term of impeachment is not written in our constitution. In the newest edition of Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia, "makzul" means putting the position; the abdicated king. JimlyAsshiddiqie explained that the word "pemakzulan" is derived from Arabic which means being abdicated from a position, given up or similar with the term impeachment in western countries' constitution.2 Impeachment or

Arifin Hendra Tanujaya, Between the Dismissal, UUD 1945, Constitutional Court Regulation, in Kompas 7 February 2010

Mahfud MD, 2007, A Debate on Consitutional Law After the Amendment, Pustaka LP3ES, Jakarta. For this moment, an option upon forum previlegiatum is neither an exact choise since this model was sharp in the ideal-theoretical level but blunt when facing the realities in Indonesian contexts, most importantly, it was affected by factors of the indepence of the judiciary which was perceived not maximum, icluding the courts and police, whose each leaders was under the shade of recruitment which has not been independent, yet.

"pemakzulan" requires an accountability in regards to DPR control to the President and/or Vice-President. The procedure of impeachment or dismissal is regulated explicitly in UUD 1945 so that political institutions owned by DPR cannot easily (politically) renounce the President and/or Vice-President. In addition, DPR cannot give up on he President and/or Vice-President which are proven violating the law, so that the dismissal on their position or impeachment was stated as the consequence.

The existence of MPR in the representative system is perceived as a typical character of democratic system in Indonesia. DPR membership elements reflects a tenet of political democracy while the elements of DPD memberships indicate a principal of the local representation so that the concerns from local area are not neglected. The changes of positions in MPR makes the understanding concerning the manifestation of people's sovereignity implemented in State's institutions can be reflected through three branches of power namely representative institution, the President, and the stakeholders of judiciary. MPR as State's institution has authorities, one of which is an authority to impeach the President and/or Vice-President if they violate the constitution.

The relation between MPR and DPR, in terms of organizing MPR trial regarding the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President, can only be processed if it is preceded by a notion from DPR, after the decision of Constitutional Court proposed to MPR to be convened as soon as possible. Hence, state's institutions involved in the process of the President and/or Vice-President impeachment are as follows: DPR as the seconder of the President and/or Vice-President impeachment; MK as an institution which investigates, adjudicates, and decides the impeachment notion/motion of DPR; MPR as an institution which determines the proposal of DPR referring to the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President.

Provided that the law violation was convened in the Constitutional Court, the trial can be stated as *forum previlegiatum* meaning that it is a particular court assessing whether the President ca be dismissed or not during his/her tenure. Indonesia once adopted the concept of *forum previlegiatum* when KRIS 1949 was formulated explicitly either in Article 148 or UUDS 1950 in Article 106 which regulated that the President and the other high officials of the stare are sent into the court in the first and last level in the Supreme Court facing an accusation of their being criminals, contravening the position, and other

A.M Fatwa, A Portrait of State's Constitution After the Amendment of UUD 1945 in National Law Convention of BPN, Jakarta, 15-16 April 2008.

Look at the formulation in Article 3 and Article 8 subsection (2) (3) UUD 1945.

violations which has been assigned by Constitution. Meanwhile, UUD 1945, valid nowadays, completely does not regulate the model of *forum previlegiatum*.⁵

2. A Study on the Substance of the Impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President in UUD 1945

The provision of the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President is reaffirmed in UUD 1945 through UUD 1945 the formulation of Article 7A:

President and/or Vice-President can be impeached during their tenure by People's Consultative Assembly according to the proposal by the House of Representative, either it has been proven violating the law in the form of betrayal toward the state, corruption, bribery, other felonies, or misconduct, or being proven that they are no longer qualified as the President and/or Vice-President.

From the formulation aforementioned, it can be revealed that there are two reasons of the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President:

- 1) Has violated the law in the form of treason toward the state, corruption, bribery, other felonies, or misconduct. In Article 10 subsection (3) Consitution No. 24 Year 2003 about Constitutional Court, it was stated that the defined matters are: a) the betrayal toward the State is criminal acts towards the state's security as it has been regulated in the constitution; c) corruption and bribery are a criminal act categorized as corruption or bribery as regulated in the constitution; c) other felonies are the criminal acts which are penalize by 5-year or more imprisonment; d) misconduct is an act of lowering the dignity of the President and/or Vice-President.
- 2) No longer qualified as the President and/or Vice-President as it is defined in Article 6 of UUD 1945, that it: a prerequisite of being an Indonesian nationality since his/her birth and neber receive other nationalities due to their own willingness, never betray the country, and has an ability, spiritually and physically, in doing the duties and obligation as the President and/or Vice-President. Meanwhile, if when becoming the President the qualifications and conditions are adjusted, from healty to unhealthy can be disqualified as the President, do that it can be an excuse of suing the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President. Such constitutional conditions are regulated

.

Moh Mahfud MD, 2007, A Debate on Constitutional Law, LP3ES, Jakarta. For this moment, an option upon forum previlegiatum is neither an exact choise since this model was sharp in the ideal-theoretical level but blunt when facing the realities in Indonesian contexts, most importantly, it was affected by factors of the indepence of the judiciary which was perceived not maximum, icluding the courts and police, whose each leaders was under the shade of recruitment which has not been independent, yet.

further in the constitution of the Election of President and Vice-President No. 23 Year 2003 which was then amended by Constitution No. 42 Year 2008.

The substance of the President and/or Vice-President Impeachment has been carefully regulated in detail, although the implementation is seemingly uneasy, complicated, and needs a long journey of law. In some extents, related to the law substance, UUD 1945 only regulates the dismissal of the President and/or Vice-President which is accused on the law violation due to criminal acts. The two excuses of the impeachment regulates the existence of an indication of law violation which is categorized as criminal violation.

Although historically, the leader of a state (king, Emperor, President, or the other terms) always has the right of invulnerability (being vulnerable from any law claims) which is often stated as *Beyond the reach of judiciary process*. To describe the term, a doctrine of *The King can do no wrong*, the so-called *The Presidential immunity from judiciary direction* in the United States, appear.⁶

Therefore, the head of governmental State cannot be sent to the court but he/she can be defeated through political struggle. In order to regulate such issue, in the norms of law, it is called *coup d'etat*, *impeachment*.⁷

According to a constitutional doctrine, the President and Vice PrePresident has a right of ivulnerability, so that they cannot be accused and processed for the sake of law enforcement during their tenure. As the result, constitutionally, it is not allowed to do an investigation and lar accusation by the officers/law enforcers towards the President and/or Vice-President durign the tenure. Thus, materially, the substance of the impeachment cannot be prosecuted as if a criminal case committed by civilians.

The President and/or Vice-President are the holders of political positions, hence, the institution which accuses and decides are the parliament as political institution, not law enforcement agencies (court). This means that political agency which is finally declares a decision toward the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President of the criminal proceeding proposed by DPR. Thus, constitutionally, there is no investigation and law

-

Look Deny Indrayana, Kompas, July 2013. It was initially emerged in a verdict of Court in Mississippi vs Johnson in 1867. The verdict affirmed that the President has a status beyond the government's reach of power court, The President was placed beyond the reach of judicial direction. The gound of US's constitutional argument is a doctrine of power separation. The doctrine was extended and it encompasses the President' personal acts before serving as the President, such as a case of Clinton vs Jones with a right of "suspend: en-title him to delay of both the trial and discovery"

According to Jody C. Baumgatner and Naka Kada (editor) President impeachment in Comparative Perspective, Praeger, Westport, CT, 2003, page 71 in Hamdan Zoelva, 2011, *The Impeachment of President*

accusation toward the President and/or Vice-President, but an investigation and prosecution toward the individual. The accountability in a criminal law will be prosecuted and completed after they become a civilian (opportunity backing shoot). An escape which is often used by the President and/or Vice-President which are in their tenure is resigning themselves, since law violation is more about the individual responsibility.

This concept gives a definition that materially, the President and/or Vice-Presidents have been invulnerable towards any accusitional law, so that the articles which should have been implemented in the constitution is only a display of norms which are exhibited formally, since what is required in the Presidential system of the government is fixs term, the elected President and Vice-President was done directly and the excuses and mechanism of the President and/or Vice-President impeachment is arranged, in which, literally, it is regulated to complicate the impeachment.¹² Therefore, this system wants the President and/or Vice-President be inviolable during their tenure as the head of state, king/queen in a government system of parliamentary.

Law violation committed by the President and/or Vice-President is categorized as criminalization, but the decision of MK is not a criminal decision, but a constitutional judgement with political consequence. It is called political in nature since the political

in Indonesia, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta, page(s) 39-44. There are five factors causing the emergence and the successful of an effort of impeaching the President and/or Vice-President in their tenure: First, the provision of constitution and legislations; Second, power balance between many branches of powers. In relation to the impeachment, the relationship between the President and legislative institutions possessing a right of doing the impeachment is crucial; Third, the structure of political parties in impeaching the President involves other political parties' members which are placed in representative institution. If the President was chosen without any formal ties to a political party, the impeachment process will be easier. Contrastly, the President and the majority of the members of legislations are from the same party with good disciplinary, theouretically, it is hard to dismiss the President during his/her tenure; Fourth, the popularity of the President is very influential. It is hard to impeach the President with high popularity. In contrast, the President with low popularity, are forced to be subjected to a formal investigation, neverthless the level of popularity prior to the impeachment is not the exact indicator in determining President's luck; Fifth, the factor of mass media, economical condition, and international pressure.

369

Constitution gives several options: First, the impeachment toward the President and/or Vice-President (Article 7A and 7B UUD 1945); Second, the President and/or Vice-President utilize a right of suspending the accusation and presecution until its tenure ends; Third, the President and/or Vice-President resigns from their position (Richard Nixon, on August 9th, 1974 in the case of Watergate; Fourth possibility, The President and/or Vice-President lead off from the duties during the process of investigation. However,until today, there is no clear rule of law.

This term is derived from an expert's statement given by Ismail Suny in discussing the impeachment in the 19th Trial of PAH I BP MPR, May 29, 2001, in Comprehensive Script of the Amendment of the 1945 Constitution (UUD 1945) of the Republic of Indonesia, Book IV of State's Governmental Power, Vo. 1, General Secretary and Secretariat, Constitutional Court, Jakarta, Page 396.

Look at Darrman Sugianto, 2015, Political Law on the Impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President in the Constitutional System of the Republic of Indonesia, Thesis, Semarang Islamic University of Sultan Agung, page 156.

In a country with unsettled democracy or political system under immature Presidential governmental system would give a chance for the President to use his/her power authoritatively.

position possessed is only because the political support, so that the sanctions in the constitutional law will end up as a political penalty which still loads supports or not in a political way of a parliament, not a law penalties which is judged in the case of impeachment as it is regulated in the constitution.

The terms of violation of decency is formulated by a phrase "or a misconduct, and a phrase "even if it is no longer qualified as the President and/or Vice-President". The phrase in the last sentence can be translated widely and open even if there is a tendency of being translated politically, and has no relation with the burden of illegal liability (criminal) as it is in the previous phrase in the formulation of Article 7A UUD 1945.

The materials of accountability should be in line with how big an authority possessed by the President in UUD 1945, which substantially arranged in Article 9 about the President and Vice-President oath and promise when it is spoken in front of MPR or DPR. While the formulation of Article 7A narrows the accusation of impeachment which does not include the violation on the oath and promise of the President and Vice-President, including a materials which are part of excuses of the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President, with an excuse that it will be politically implicated.

However, conceptually, the impeachment of the President in a Presidential system which is fixs term, it was seen that, on the other side, there was an effort of avoiding a political contents, but on the other hand, the President and Vice-President, as long as they stand in their position, cannoe be presecuted by the apparatus of law enforcer when they violate the law (criminal) as it is accused in Article 7A UUD 1945. The process of ciminal presecution toward the President and/or Vice-President can only be conducted when the concerned party has become civilians.¹³ The President can only be impeached by MPR in a political trial. Since the politic creates an essence of which the simplification or the complication of the President's accountability during the accusation of law violation is depending on political reality established in the institutions such as DPR or MPR. Moreover, there are factors beyong the parliament which could affect directly or indirectly, either the popularity of the President, a factor of President's impeachment, of the influence of mass media orienting on the investigative journalism which is way more easier to be transformed to public.¹⁴ Thus, banishing the materials of the violation of oath

_

Look at the statement of Ismail Suny in the 19thTrial Treatise of PAH I BP MPR, 29 May 2001 in *Risalah Komprehensif Op. Cit.* page 394

¹⁴ Hamdan Zulva, 2011, *Op. Cit.* Page 39

of office as an excuse of the President and/or Vice-President impeachment is unfounded political reasons.

In the formulation of Article 7B subsection (2) UUD 1945,¹⁵ it is DPR's notion of the law violation accused to the President and/or Vice-President for the sake of impelenting the function of DPR's control. This function can be done by employing the rights possessed by DPR. The rights are as follows:¹⁶

- To ask for statements to the government (interpellation right) concerning government policy which is important and strategic, also widely impactful towards people's living, nation, and state;
- b. In order to conduct an investigation (right of inquiry) toward the implementation of a constitution and/or government's policy related to the things which are of important, strategic, and widely impactful towards people's living, nation, and state which presumably contradicts the rules of constitution;
- c. To state the notion of the government's policy or in regards to extraordinary events happened nationally or internationally, and a supposition as it has beed normed in Article 7A UUD 1945.

Conceptually, such materials are those included in the field of governmental matters which has been the authority of the President, and receive a control from DPR, as it has been formulated in Article 79 Constitution No. 17 Year 2014. The implementation of the function og the control upon the government's policy enters a political area as it is in the governmental system of parliamenter, since it could end up with a right where DPR could speak their notions. The implementation of the rights of DPR is formulated in Article 194 to Article 214 subsection (2) Constitution No. 17 Year 2014, it was arranged ... "DPR states the decision on a right of statingt the notion to the Constitutional Court to obtain a judgement". This step can be done is the implementation of the interpellation right and right of inquiry has been passed, but the implementation of such right can be continued until the right of stating the notion. This provision makes the President restricted and could cause the President imposed by DPR, although the option of the governmental system is Presidentialism which is *fixs executive*. 17

-

The notion of the House of Representative that the President and/or Vice-President has violated the law or being no longer qualified as the President and/or Vice-President.

Look at Article 79 Constitution No. 17 Year 2014.

Look at the agreements of MPR in Amending the UUD 1945, one of which is utilizing the Presidential system.

The provision in the Articles of Constitution No. 17 Year 2017 is perceived as the norms which are related to the impeachment provisions loaded in Article 7A and Article 7B UUD 1945 which are incoherent with the character of Presidential system. An eagerness of purifying the governmental system of Presidential become irrelevant since several provisions in both UUD 1945 and Constitution No. 17 Year 2014 detected an element of parliamentarism.

3. A Study on the Procedure of President and/or Vice-President Impeachment after the Reformation

The procedure of accountability of the President which impacts to the impeachment of the Presidentand/or Vice-President in UUD 1945 is way more complicated if compared to the procedure of the impeachment in somecountries i.e. United States (from DPR directly to Senate), South Korea, and Thailand, where the Constitutional Court can directly subject a penalty of the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President.¹⁸

The constitution affirmed the power of constitutional power of the President and/or Vice-President by inputting the provision of President and Vice-President election directly by the people and the provision of impeachment in UUD 1945 and is formulated in more detail such as in Constitution No. 17 Year 2014 and the implementation process is regulated in the Constitution No. 24 Year 2003 about Constitutional Court as well as in the Regulation of Constitutional Court No. 21 Year 2009 in which during the implementation, technical barriers on procedural will be found so that it makes the implementation be that complicated and too meticulous.

Problem of perocedural technique is initiated by the emergence of accusation of a notion from DPR that the President and/or Vice-President did violate the law as it is formulated in Article 7A UUD 1945. To obtain enough evidence as it has been the prerequisite in the Regulation of Constitutional Court, what acts should be done? Will DPR investigate as well as the other law enforcer (i.e. police) in investigating the case of criminal law violation? And, will the right of inquiry for the sake of DPR control be technically probable in finding the evidence? It is because the President always be invulnerable towards the accusation of law during their tenure that it is defined as *beyond the reach of judiciary process*. this makes the procedure of impeachment in the Presidential governmental system is literally to affirm that in the case of criminal

_

Susana Rita, *Pemakzulan Sulit, Meski Bukan Hal Mustahil*, in Kompas, 2 March 2010

violation, President and/or Vice-President cannot be accused. Therefore, the accusation from the DPR are mostly initiated by political elements if compared to the former evidence which is juridically criminal.

In some countries which involved MK during the impeachment process, the judgement of MK is the final and binding. ¹⁹ But, the decision made by MK in Indonesia is placed as a decision which dos not have a executing power²⁰ since the since it is the "in between" decision which will be tested by MPR to give final decision politically and as the political sanction for the President and/or Vice-President to put down their position when the accountability is accepted.

In the substantial or procedural regulation of the President's accountability in the process of impeachment is basically a politican accountability, since the initial supposition of the violation was obtained from the result of control as it is regulated in the Constitution No. 17 Year 2014²¹, which caused the DPR notions be investigated, assessed, and judged by MK and DPR, even though the reasons are juridically criminal.

C. CLOSING

Conclusion

1. Juridically, the President and/or Vice-President have been invulnerable towards any law accusation, so that the articles stated in the constitutions are only the display of norms or exhibition for the sake of formality, since what is wanted by the Presidential governmental system is the fixs term. The directly elected President and/or Vice-President and the ideas of excuses and mechanism in impeaching the President are only a way to complicate the process. Thus, substantially, the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President cosisted in Article 7A UUD 1945 cannot be prosecutued as it is for other criminal violation toward the other civilians. The existence of the process covers personal investigation and accusation. The accountability of criminal law will be processed and completed after they become

Look at Kunti Dyah Waedani, 2007, Op. Cit. Page 80

The 2nd Proceeding "Indonesia Clean of Corruption in 2020"

¹⁹ As it is ini Germany and South Korea

^{1.} To ask for statements to the government concerning government policy which is important and strategic, also widely impactful towards people's living, nation, and state; 2. In order to conduct an investigation toward the implementation of a constitution and/or government's policy related to the things which are of important, strategic, and widely impactful towards people's living, nation, and state which presumably contradicts the rules of constitution;3. To state the notion upon the government's policy or in regards to extraordinary events happened nationally or internationally, and a supposition as it has beed normed in Article 7A UUD 1945.

- civilians (opportunity backing shoot). Therefore, the head of state givernmental cannot be prosecuted, but they can be defeated through political struggle.
- 2. The procedure of the impeachment of the President and/or Vice-President in UUD 1945 as well as in the Constitution No. 17 Year 2014 is way more complicated if compared to the procedure of impeaching in other countries. President's accountability in the impeachment process is basically a political accountability, since the initial supposition of law violation was acquired from the result of a control when DPR used their interpellation rights, inquiry right, and the right of stating their notions as regulated in the Constitution No. 17 Year 2014, which continues to criminal accusation as affirmed in Article 7A and Article 7B UUD 1945.

REFFERENCE

- Andi Irman Putra Sidin, 2009, *Presidensial Buruk Rupa Cermin di Belah*, in *Memahami Hukum Dari Konstruksi Sampai Implementasi*, Rajawali Pers, Raja Grafindo, Jakarta
- A.M Fatwa ,Potret Konstitusi Negara Pasca Perubahan UUD 1945 dalam Konvensi Hukum Nasional BPN, Jakarta, 15-16 April 2008.
- Arend Lijphat,1995, Sistem Pemerintahan Parlementer dan Presidensiil, Penyadur Ibrahim R, et al., Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta
- Anthony Quinton (Edited by), 1993, Political Philosophy, Oxford University Press
- AchmadGunaryo (Editor), Hukum, *Birokrasi Dan Kekuasaan Di Indonesia*, Undip, Semarang
- BagirManan, JimlyAsshiddiqie, 2006, *Amandemen UUD 1945 Dan Pemilihan Presiden Secara Langsung*, General Secretary and Secretariat of Constitutional Court of RI, Jakarta.
- Darrman Sugianto, 2015, *Politik Hukum Pemberhentian Presiden dan/atau Wakil Presiden dalam Sistem Ketatanegaraan Republik Indonesia*, Thesis, Semarang Islamic University of Sultan Agung.
- Hamdan Zoelva, 2011, *Pemakzulan presiden di Indonesia*, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta
- Mahfud MD, 2007, Perdebatan Hukum Tata Negara Pasca Amandemen, Pustaka LP3ES
- Journals, Papers dan Newspaper
- Arifin Hendra Tanujaya, *Antara Pemakzulan, UUD 1945 Peraturan MK*, inKompas, 7 February 2010

Deny Indrayana, Kompas July 2013. Pertama muncul dalam putusan Court in Mississippi vs Johnson Tahun 1867.

Susana Rita, Pemakzulan Sulit, Meski Bukan Hal Mustahil, in Kompas 2 March 2010 Constitutional Journal, Volume 4 No. 2 June 2007 Constitutional Journal, Volume 3 No. 1, February 2006, Constitutional Court The Regulation of Constitution

The 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia
The Constitution No. 24 Year 2003 about Constitutional Court
The Constitution No. 17 Year 2014 about MPR, DPR and DPD
Constitutional Court's Regulation No. 21 Year 2009
The regulation of MPR-DPR Code of Conduct